

From: Celia Zaki  
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2024 4:35 PM  
To: Gatwick Airport <[gatwickairport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:gatwickairport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>  
Cc: [cagnegatwick@gmail.com](mailto:cagnegatwick@gmail.com)  
Subject: Gatwick Airport New Runway Planning Application

Good Afternoon

I realise that the DCO Hearing TR0200005 for Gatwick Airport is complete but I should like to highlight the shortfalls to you.

1. The agreement to make the best use of the current runway is not being adhered to if a second runway is being used.
2. As a planet we are supposed to be reducing our emissions in order to avert the increasing affects of climate change. This also applies to the airport. It should be scaling down massively to reduce the carbon (greenhouse gases) currently emitted. More flights leads to more carbon emissions from the aircraft. Transportation of waste to another site (due to removing the biomass generation facility) would lead to more carbon emissions. This is the opposite of what is needed.
3. Aircraft noise levels were supposed to be being decreased by 0.5 decibels annually not increased by having more flights. If this agreement isn't being adhered to either there definitely needs to be a night flight ban as minimum.
4. There is not enough airspace for air traffic from two runways
5. Isulation compensation needs to be given to residents in a wider area that is being currently considered.
6. Areas of outstanding natural beauty and historical significance are being ignored.
7. There is no clear timetable for the congested surface transport - especially in the mornings. The airport is reliant on third parties to provide services to the airport without enough funding for sustainable transport options.
8. Air quality for residents, nature and species in the Gatwick basin needs improving not just "monitoring" as is currently the case. It is full of pollutants and micro particles from aircraft.
9. The airport will need its own sewerage treatment plant to prevent surrounding homes being flooded with sewerage because Thames water (another company bent on destroying the environment) don't provide that service.
10. With the airport expansion more workers will be needed in the area and we currently have a housing shortage and very few sustainably built homes at all. The airport will need to provide funding for housing, hotels, schools, healthcare and amenities . Construction workers will also need facilities.
11. The inward migration of workers on national minimum wage will need accommodation and cheap sustainable transport to and from work.

12. With all the extra people in the area there will be a significant increase in waste. The airport who is causing this needs to be accountable for how much will need transporting on our roads and to where.

13. The airport funding does not focus on the impact the airport has on our local community. It focuses on media events and social media (presenting an unrealistic image of itself) and the charities it supports in an effort to convince people that it is a good thing whilst destroying our planet and people.

14. Alternative fuels have not been produced and won't be as it is all greenwashing however there is no detail here on how they would affect the local air with potential odours.

Kind regards

Celia Zaki